

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/077,777	02/20/2002	Shigeki Matsuda	111995 3646	
25944 OI IFF & RFR	7590 · 01/31/2007		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			WONG, EDNA	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1753	
		•	<u></u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/077,777	MATSUDA ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Edna Wong	1753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 12 January 2007. A br the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	11.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered b	ecause
 (a) \overline{\text{They raise new issues that would require further co}} (b) \overline{\text{They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo}} (c) \overline{\text{They are not deemed to place the application in below.}} 	w);	•	the issues for
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	ule issues ioi
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-	will not be entered, or b) will will will will will will will	l be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-15.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-70</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. Output Description:	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).			
13. Other:	G	mali ma-	_

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Edna Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1753

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed claim limitations of "the treatment bath has a pH of less than 2" and "the oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) of said phosphate chemical treatment bath indicated as the potential relative to a standard hydrogen electrode, is maintained at equal to or greater than 770 mV" were not in any of the original or previously presented claims. Thus, these claim limitations would require further consideration and/or search.